

The Private Wardrobe Office

Founded By Lucretia Sandu

ESTABLISHING PROFESSIONAL STEWARDSHIP FOR THE PRIVATE WARDROBE

Documentation | Evidence | Preservation | Continuity

London

How should household wardrobe records be structured?

Published by: Private Wardrobe Office

www.lucretia.world | contact@lucretia.world | 07810083999

The management of a significant wardrobe begins not with the garments themselves, but with the records that describe them. Every established discipline concerned with the stewardship of collections—whether libraries, museums, archives or private collections—depends upon documentation as the means by which objects are identified, understood and managed over time. A private wardrobe is no exception. As a collection increases in size and complexity, memory inevitably gives way to documentation, and personal familiarity must be replaced by a structured system capable of preserving continuity.

Wardrobe records are often mistaken for inventories. The distinction, however, is an important one. An inventory is primarily concerned with establishing what exists at a given moment. It provides a list of objects, usually accompanied by a description and a location. While this may be sufficient for a modest wardrobe, it becomes increasingly inadequate as collections expand across multiple residences, incorporate couture and bespoke commissions, or require ongoing maintenance, seasonal rotation and specialist preservation. A household wardrobe record must therefore perform a broader function. It should not merely identify an object but document its relationship to the collection throughout its entire life.

For this reason, the structure of household wardrobe records should always begin with the collection rather than with the individual garment. Every object exists within a wider organisational framework, and that framework provides the context necessary for effective stewardship. The collection forms the highest level of organisation, beneath which individual residences, dressing rooms, wardrobes and storage areas establish a logical hierarchy. Only then does the individual object assume its place within the system. Such an arrangement reflects the physical reality of the wardrobe while allowing every garment to be located precisely without ambiguity. More importantly, it preserves consistency as the collection evolves, enabling new acquisitions, relocations and archive transfers to be incorporated without disrupting the integrity of existing records. Within this hierarchy, each garment requires an individual record that remains constant throughout its lifetime. The identity of the object should never depend upon its current location, season or use. Instead, every garment should possess a unique identifier that remains unchanged regardless of where it is stored or how often it is moved. This principle is fundamental to all collection management. A bespoke evening jacket relocated from London to Geneva, or a couture gown transferred from active use to archive storage, remains the same object. Its identity is permanent; only its circumstances change.

A complete household record extends well beyond basic descriptive information. While details such as designer, material, colour and size provide an essential means of identification, they represent only the beginning of the documentary record. Equally important are the histories that accumulate throughout the life of the garment. Acquisition records establish when and how an object entered the collection. Movement records document its relocation between residences, archives or external specialists. Maintenance records preserve evidence of cleaning, repairs and conservation treatments, while condition reports provide a chronological account of its physical state. Together these records form a continuous narrative, enabling the owner to understand not only what the garment is, but how it has evolved over time.

This principle of continuity distinguishes a professional record from a simple database. Information should never be overwritten simply because circumstances change. If a garment undergoes alteration, its previous measurements remain part of its history. If it moves to another residence, its former location should remain recorded. If conservation treatment becomes necessary, the condition that prompted intervention should be preserved alongside the treatment itself. In this way, each record becomes cumulative rather than temporary. It documents change without erasing what preceded it, preserving an evidential history that grows alongside the collection.

The relationship between records is equally significant. No garment exists in isolation. An individual object may be connected to an acquisition invoice, a condition report, a movement register, a maintenance history, an archive record and a photographic file. Each document contributes a different aspect of the object's history, and together they create a comprehensive understanding of the garment. The strength of the documentation therefore lies not in the quantity of information recorded, but in the coherence of the relationships established between individual records. A well-structured documentation system allows each element to support and verify the others, reducing uncertainty and improving the reliability of the collection as a whole.

Photography occupies a distinctive role within this framework. Images should not be regarded as decorative additions to the record, but as documentary evidence. Their purpose is to assist identification, support condition assessment and provide a visual reference for future comparison. A carefully maintained photographic record becomes increasingly valuable as garments age, undergo conservation or move between locations. When combined with written documentation, photography provides an objective record that strengthens both accountability and preservation.

It is also essential to recognise that household wardrobe records are intended to support stewardship rather than administration. Their purpose is not to generate paperwork but to enable informed decision-making. Accurate records allow garments to be located without unnecessary handling, preservation priorities to be identified before deterioration occurs, and acquisitions to be considered in relation to the existing collection rather than in isolation. They support insurance valuations, estate planning, household operations and future succession while reducing reliance upon memory alone. In doing so, documentation becomes an instrument of preservation rather than a bureaucratic exercise. As digital technologies continue to transform domestic management, there is an increasing temptation to regard wardrobe records as little more than searchable databases or shopping catalogues. Such systems undoubtedly improve accessibility, yet technology should never determine the structure of the record itself. The underlying principles of identification, continuity, provenance and accountability remain unchanged regardless of the software through which they are managed. Digital systems should therefore be understood as tools that support established collection practices rather than replacing them.

Ultimately, the structure of household wardrobe records reflects a broader philosophy of ownership. To document a wardrobe properly is to acknowledge that clothing may possess significance extending beyond immediate use. Some garments represent exceptional craftsmanship, others preserve family history, while many together record the changing circumstances of an individual's life. Without coherent records, much of that significance is gradually lost as garments move, age and eventually pass from one generation to the next. With carefully structured documentation, however, the collection remains intelligible long after individual memories have faded.

Household wardrobe records should therefore be regarded not as administrative documents, but as the permanent documentary foundation of the collection. They preserve evidence of ownership, movement, preservation and change, allowing the wardrobe to be managed with consistency and confidence over many years. In this respect, the quality of a collection is measured not only by the garments it contains, but by the quality of the records that preserve their history.